MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

December 29, 2003

DIVISION TWO

B161005 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Cuellar

The appeal in superior court case No. GA044273 is dismissed. In superior court case No. GA048010 the conviction of mayhem is reversed and the judgment is ordered modified to reinstate the conviction of assault, together with the true findings on the great bodily injury allegation and the criminal street gang allegation on that count, with directions as follows: If the People elect to dismiss the mayhem count rather than bringing appellant to trial on the mayhem count within 60 days of the filing of the remittitur in the trial court pursuant to Penal Code section 1382, the trial court shall then resentence appellant on the assault count accordingly.

Doi Todd, J.

We concur: Nott, Acting P.J.

Ashmann-Gerst, J.

B165720 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)

V.

Lucia G.

The juvenile court's jurisdictional and disposition orders are affirmed. This court's order staying the juvenile court's order terminating jurisdiction is vacated, and the order terminating jurisdiction over Beatriz is affirmed.

Doi Todd, J.

We concur: Boren, P.J.

Nott, J.

DIVISION FOUR

B160408 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Newman

The judgment is affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Epstein, J.

B166789 People (Not for Publication)

v. Bobino

The judgment is affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Curry, J.

B162757 Soni (Not for Publication)

V.

Sheldon & Mak, Inc., et al.

The judgment (denial of the motion to compel arbitration) is reversed. The trial court is directed to vacate its motion denying the motion to compel arbitration and to enter a new and different order granting the motion to compel arbitration as to the claims for wages contained in the 11th and 12th causes of action of the Second Amended Complaint, and dismissing the remainder of the claims in the Second Amended Compliant. The parties are to bear their own costs on appeal.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Epstein, J.

DIVISION FOUR (Continued)

B164983 People (Not for Publication)

v. Miller

The judgment is affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Curry, J.

B168287 Los Angeles County, D.C.S. (Not for Publication)

V.

Ana M.

The judgment (order of the juvenile court terminating parental rights) is affirmed.

Hastings, J.

We concur: Vogel (C.S.), P.J.

Epstein, J.

DIVISION SIX

B161699 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Brown

The clerk of the trial court shall amend the abstract of judgment to reflect that, in addition to the fines imposed under sections 1202.4 and 1202.45, a crime prevention fine was imposed pursuant to section 1202.5, along with the required \$10 state penalty assessment (Sec. 1464) and \$7 county penalty assessment (Gov. Code, Sec. 76000), for a total fine of \$27. The clerk of the trial court shall forward certified copies of the amended abstract to the Department of Corrections. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Yegan, J.

DIVISION SIX (Continued)

B168274 People (Not for Publication)

V.

Hernandez

We reverse the order granting respondent's petition for a writ of error coram nobis and vacating his judgment of conviction and guilty plea entered in 1995. We remand this case to the trial court with directions to reinstate and reenter its judgment of conviction and sentence.

Coffee, J.

We concur: Gilbert, P.J.

Yegan, J.

DIVISION SEVEN

B162425 Darvish (Not for Publication)

V.

City of Inglewood, et al.

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Woods, J.

DIVISION EIGHT

B163770 People (Not for Publication)

v. Willis

The judgment of the trial court is affirmed.

Cooper, P.J.

We concur: Rubin, J.

Boland, J.

DIVISION EIGHT (Continued)

B162513 American Airlines, Inc., et al. (Certified for Publication)

V.

Superior Court, Los Angeles County (Richard Di Marco, r.p.i.)

The petition is granted. Let a peremptory writ of mandate issue, directing the trial court to: (1) vacate its October 11, 2002 order denying American's motion to compel answers to deposition questions; and (2) issue a new order granting the motion, subject to any protective order that is consistent with the views expressed in this opinion. American shall recover its costs in this writ proceeding.

Rubin, J.

We concur: Cooper, P.J.

Boland, J.